1	T. James Truman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 003620	
2	Stephen M. Dixon, Esq.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 010025 T. JAMES TRUMAN & ASSOCIATES	
4	3654 North Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130	
5	Telephone: (702) 256-0156 Attorneys for Defendants Walldesign Incorporated, Michael Bello, Colonial Properties Trust,	
6	Colonial Commercial Contracting, LLC, and Colonial Properties Services	
7	UNITED STATES DIST	TRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT OF N	NEVADA
9	MIGUEL TORRALBA, ROSARIO	Case No.
10	MARROQUIM, CESAR ROSAS, JUAN FARIAS, OSCAR ROJAS RAMIREZ, ANGEL FARIAS,	Case Ivo.
11 12	VICTOR URIBE, ISIDRO FLORES, JACOBO MARQUEZ, RICARDO FLORES, TEOFILO PEREZ, JOSE LUIS SANTIAGO MARTINEZ	
13	FELIX PEREZ MANUEL, ROSA RODRIGUEZ, MARIA GUADALUPE URIBE, ROBERTO	
14	CRUZ, ISRAEL CRUZ, MARCEL EPIFANIO, ORLANDO RAMIREZ, ESTEBAN MIRANDA,	
15	MANUEL MUNOS, FRANCISCO VARGAS; LUIS VARGAS, ROBERTA BARBOSA, MARTIN PEREZ, GREGORIO CAMPOS,	
16	RAMON ENRIQUEZ, ESTHER PEREZ, SERVANDO MORENO, and ELEASAR TREJO	
17	individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated,	
18	Plaintiffs,	
19	VS.	
20		
21	WALLDESIGN, INC.; MICHAEL BELLO; PICERNE CONSTRUCTION CORP.; OVATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION; COLONIAL	
22	PROPERTIES TRUST; COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC;	
23	COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES; FAIRFIELD DEVELOPMENT LIMITED	
24	PARTNERSHIP; FANTASY CONSTRUCTION, INC. and DOES 1-10 inclusive,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27	PETITION FOR REMOVAL FROM THE EIGH NEVADA TO THE UNITED STA	

FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COME NOW WALLDESIGN INCORPORATED (incorrectly named as WALLDESIGN, INC.) ("Walldesign"), MICHAEL BELLO ("Bello"), COLONIAL PROPERTIES TRUST ("Colonial Properties"), COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC ("Colonial Commercial") and COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES ("Colonial Services")(hereinafter collectively referred to as Walldesign Defendants") by and through their counsel of record, the law firm of T. James Truman & Associates, and submit this Notice of Removal to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Walldesign Defendants hereby remove the state action entitled Miguel Torralba, et al. v. Walldesign, Inc., et al., Case No. A-09-598359-C filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark, to this Court. The grounds for removal are as follows:

- Removal is appropriate in this case pursuant to 28 USC § 1453 that class actions may 1. be removed to a United States District Court and 28 USC § 1441(a), in that this Court has original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws or treaties of the United States. Furthermore, this Court has original jurisdiction on the basis of Diversity of Citizenship pursuant to 28 USC § 1332(a)(1) and (d)(1)(A), pursuant to the claims set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint, Plaintiffs bring the Complaint on behalf of themselves and on behalf of other similarly-situated employees, the matters in controversy allegedly exceed \$75,000.00 based on Plaintiffs' alleged damages, exclusive of interests and costs, and complete diversity exists between Plaintiffs an Defendant Bello as follows:
 - Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs are residents of the State of Nevada;
 - b. Defendant Walldesign is a California corporation;
 - Defendant Bello is a resident of the State of California; c.
 - Defendant Colonial Properties is an Alabama entity; d.
 - e. Defendant Colonial Commercial is a Delaware corporation; and
 - f. Defendant Colonial Services is an Alabama corporation.
- 2. Removal is also appropriate in this case pursuant to pursuant to the claims set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint, regarding the Defendants' alleged violations of Section 216(b) of the Fair

Case 2:09-cv-01869-RCJ-LRL Document 1 Filed 09/23/09 Page 3 of 47

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1

Labor Standards Act, 29 USC § 201 et seq., Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act, 18 USC §§ 1960-68, and alleged Mail, Wire and Bank Fraud and Money Laundering pursuant to 18 USC §§ 1341, 1343, 1344 and 1356.

- Defendants were served with a copy of the Complaint as follows:
 - Colonial Commercial, September 2, 2009; and a.
 - Colonial Services, September 2, 2009. e.

Walldesign Defendants are not able to give the exact date of service for Walldesign, Bello or Colonial Properties; however, it is believed that none of said defendants were served prior to September 2, 2009. This Petition is therefore timely filed within thirty (30) days of service, as required by 28 USC § 1446(b).

- Pursuant to 28 USC § 1446(a), Walldesign Defendants provide this Court with copies 4. of the following documents:
 - The Summons and Compliant, attached hereto collectively as Exhibit "A;"
- Notice of Removal of Action to the United States District Court District of b. Nevada attached hereto as Exhibit "B;"
- A copy of this Petition is being filed concurrently with the Clerk of the Eighth 5. Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada and served on Plaintiffs' counsel.

DATED this 7 3 day of September, 2009.

T. JAMES TRUMAN & ASSOCIATES

T. JAMES TRUMAN? ESO:

Nevada Bar No. 003620 STEPHEN M. DIXON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10025

3654 North Rancho Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89130

Attorneys Defendants Walldesign for Michael Bello, Colonial Incorporated, Properties Trust, Colonial Commercial Contracting, LLC, and Colonial Properties

Services

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I am an employee of T. JAMES TRUMAN & ASSOCIATES, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, and that on the 30 day of September, 2009, I placed a true and correct copy of PETITION FOR REMOVAL FROM THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA in the United States mails at Las Vegas, Nevada, with 1st class postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Andrew J. Kahn, Esq. Mccracken, Stemerman & Holsberry 1630 S. Commerce Street, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Rachel Wilson, Esq. 177 N. Church Ave., Suite 200 Tucson, AZ 85701

An employee of T. James Truman & Associates

EXHIBIT "A"

2:45

SUMM

ú.

Andrew J. Kahn, SBN 3751 McCracken, Stemerman & Holsberry 1630 S. Commerce St., Suite A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 386-5107

Fax:

(702) 386-9848

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MIGUEL TORRALBA, ROSARIO MARROQUIN, CESAR ROSAS RAMIREZ, JUAN FARIAS, OSCAR ROJAS RAMIREZ, ANGEL FARIAS, VICTOR URIBE, ISIDRO FLORES, JACOBO MARQUEZ, RICARDO FLORES, TEOFILO PEREZ, JOSE LUIS SANTIAGO MARTINEZ, FELIX PEREZ MANUEL, ROSA RODRIGUEZ, MARIA GUADALUPE URIBE, ROBERTO CRUZ, ISRAEL CRUZ, MARCELO EPIFANIO. ORLANDO RAMTREZ, ESTEBAN MIRANDA, MANUEL MUNOS, FRANCISCO VARGAS, LUIS VARGAS, ROBERTA BARBOSA, MARTIN PEREZ, GREGORIO CAMPOS, RAMON ENRIQUEZ, ESTHER PEREZ, SERVANDO MORENO, and ELEASAR TREJO individually and on behalf of other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-VS-

WALLDESIGN, INC.; MICHAEL BELLO; PICERNE CONSTRUCTION CORP.; OVATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION; COLONIAL PROPERTIES TRUST; COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC; COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES; FAIRFIELD DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; FANTASY CONSTRUCTION, INC. and DOES 1-10 inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO. A-09-598359-C DEPT. NO. XV/

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT(S): A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
 - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
 - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF COURT

Deputy Clerk

Regional Justice Center

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

200 Lewis Avenue

MARY ANDERSON

SEP- 1 2009

Date

Submitted by:

McCracken, Stemerman & Holsberry 1630 S. Commerce St., Suite A-1

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 386-5107 Fax: (702) 386-9848

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

NOTE: When service is by publication, add a brief statement of the object of the action. See Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 4(b).

walldesignsummons.doc/8/31/2009

		AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
STAT	TE OF	ss:
cou	NTY (
-		being duly sworn, says: That at all times herein affiant was and is over 18
years	of age	, not a party to nor interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is
made.	That	affiant received copy(ies) of the Summons and Complaint, on
the	da	ey of, 20 and served the same on the day of,
20	by:	
		(Affiant must complete the appropriate paragraph)
1.	Delive	ering and leaving a copy with the Defendant at (state address)
2.	Servi	ng the Defendant by personally delivering and leaving a copy with
		, a person of suitable age and discretion residing at the Defendant's usual
	place	of abode located at (state address)
	[L	se paragraph 3 for service upon agent, completing (a) or (b)]
3.	Servi	ng the Defendant by personally delivering and leaving a copy at
	(state	address)
	(a)	With as, an agent lawfully designated by statute to accept
		service of process;
	(b)	With, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of suitable age and
		discretion at the above address, which address is the address of the
		resident agent as shown on the current certificate of designation filed with
		the Secretary of State.
1,	Perso	nally depositing a copy in a mail box of the United States Post Office,
	enclos	sed in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid (Check appropriate method): Ordinary mail Certified mail, return receipt requested Registered mail, return receipt requested

addressed to the Defendant at Defendant's last known address which is
(state address)
I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the
foregoing is true and correct.
EXECUTED this day of, 20
Signature of person making service

ORIGINAL FILED **AFFT** Richard G. McCracken, SBN 2748 SEP 9 4 13 PM 189 Andrew J. Kahn, SBN 3751 McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN & HOLSBERRY 1630 S. Commerce St., Ste. A-1 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Telephone: 702-386-5107 5 701-386-9848 Fax: 6 DISTRICT COURT A-09-598359-C 7 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 8 MIGUEL TORRALBA, et al 9 10 Plaintiff(s), CASE NO. A-09-598359-C 11 DEPT. NO. XVI -vs-12 13 WALLDESIGN, INC., et al. 14 Defendant(s). 15 16 AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ON COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 RECEIVED 28 SEP - 9 2009 CLERK OF THE COURT

12

AFFIDAVIT

DISTRICT COURT, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2 MIGUEL TORRALBA 3 Case No.: A-09-598359-C Plaintiff, VS. 4 WALLDESIGN, INC. 5 Defendant Dept. No.:XVI 6 7 MATTHEW BAKER, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That affiant is a citizen 8 of the United States, over 18 years of age, an employee of Reno/Carson Messenger Service, Inc. #322 and not a party to, nor interested in the within action. Affiant received the documents on 9 the 2nd day of September, 2009. 10 On 2nd day of September, 2009, at 2:45PM affiant personally served a copy of the: 11 SUMMONS-CIVIL; COMPLAINT: CLASS ACTION AND COLLECTIVE ACTION; 12 On FAYE MARTIN, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of suitable age and discretion, of the 13 office of THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY OF NEVADA, resident agent for COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC, at the address of: 14 6100 NEIL RD #500, RENO, NV 89511 15 Affiant does hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that the assertions of this affidavit are 16 true. 17 18 19

SIGNED and SWORN to before me on 3rd day of September, 2009 by MATTHEW BAKER.

NOTARY PUBLIC

20

21

22

23

24

25

I



ORIGINAL

FILED

SEP 9 4 14 PH 109

AFFT Richard G. McCracken, SBN 2748 Andrew J. Kahn, SBN 3751

McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN & HOLSBERRY

1630 S. Commerce St., Ste. A-1

Las Vegas, NV 89102 Telephone: 702-386-5107

Fax:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

701-386-9848

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

A-09-598359-C

383145

MIGUEL TORRALBA, et al

Plaintiff(s),

-VS-

WALLDESIGN, INC., et al

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. A-09-598359-C

DEPT. NO. XVI

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ON COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES

RECEIVED

SEP -9 2009

CLERK OF THE COURT

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

1			
2	DISTRICT COURT, CLA	RK COUNTY	Y, NEVADA
3	MIGUEL TORRALBA	District.	0
4	vs.	Plaintiff,	Case No.: A-09-598359-C
5	WALLDESIGN, INC.	Defendant	Dept. No.:XVI
6			
7			•
8	MATTHEW BAKER, being first duly sw of the United States, over 18 years of age, an em		
9	#322 and not a party to, nor interested in the wi the 2nd day of September, 2009.		
10			
11	On 2nd day of September, 2009, at 2:45PM affian summons-civil; COMPLAINT: CLASS ACTION AND COLLECTION	•	ved a copy of the:
12		-	11 12 3 64
13	On FAYE MARTIN, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as office of THE CORPORATION TRUST COMPA	ANY OF NEVA	
14	COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES, at the 6100 NEIL RD #500,	e address of:	
15	RENO, NV 89511		
16	Affiant does hereby affirm under penalty	of perjury that th	ne assertions of this affidavit a
17	true.		
18		-: \\	
19		MATTI	IEW BAKER
20	SIGNED and SWORN to before me on		
21	3rd day of September 2009, by MATTHEW BA	KER.	
22	NOTARY PUBLIC		
23	STEPHANIE MARTELL		
24	Notary Public - State of Novaud		
25	Appointment Recorded III Was 49, 2013		

:		
. 1	COMP	TTED
2	ANDREW KAHN, SBN 3751 McCracken, Stemerman & Holsberry	F. A. Sone Sone Sold
3	1630 S. Commerce St. Las Vegas, NV 89102	SEP 1 12 15 PH'09
4	Telephone: (702) 386-5107 Fax: (702) 386-9848	ESTE I
5	aīk@dcbsf.com	CLERK OF THE COURT
6	RACHEL WILSON, AZB #024801, pro hac vice per 177 N. Church Ave., Suite 200	nding
7	Tucson, Arizona 85701 Telephone: (520) 628-7777	
8 9.	Fax: (520) 798-1980 rachel@rachelwilsonlaw.com	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
.11		COURT
12	COUNTY	A AR CAROCA.
13	MIGUEL TORRALBA, ROSARIO MARROQUIN, ROSAS RAMIREZ, JUAN FARIAS, OSCAR ROJA	
14	RAMIREZ, ANGEL FARIAS, VICTOR URIBE, ISI FLORES, JACOBO MARQUEZ, RICARDO FLOR	
15	TEOFILO PEREZ, JOSE LUIS SANTIAGO MART FELIX PEREZ MANUEL, ROSA RODRIGUEZ, M	TINEZ,
16	GUADALUPE URIBE, ROBERTO CRUZ, ISRAEL	L CRUZ, AND COLLECTIVE ACTION
17:	MARCELO EPIFANIO , ORLANDO RAMIREZ, E MIRANDA, MANUEL MUNOS, FRANCISCO VA	ARGAS, DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18	LUIS VARGAS, ROBERTA BARBOSA, MARTIN GREGORIO CAMPOS, RAMON ENRIQUEZ, EST	THER
19 20	PEREZ, SERVANDO MORENO, and ELEASAR To individually and on behalf of other persons similarly	
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	vs.	
23	 WALLDESIGN, INC.; MICHAEL BELLO; PICERN	
24	CONSTRUCTION CORP.; OVATION DEVELOPM CORPORATION; COLONIAL PROPERTIES TRUS	
25	COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LL COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES; FAIRFIELI	
26	DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; FAN' CONSTRUCTION, INC. and DOES 1-10 inclusive.	VTASY
27	Defendants.	
28	1	
- 1	;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiffs Miguel Torralba, Rosario Marroquin, Cesar Rosas Ramirez, Juan Farias, Oscar Rojas Ramirez, Angel Farias, Victor Uribe, Isidro Flores, Jacobo Marquez, Ricardo Flores, Teofilo Perez, Jose Luis Santiago Martinez, Felix Perez Manuel, Rosa Rodriguez, Maria Guadalupe Uribe, Roberto Cruz, Israel Cruz, Marcelo Epifanio, Orlando Ramirez, Esteban Miranda, Manuel Munos, Francisco Vargas, Luis Vargas, Roberta Barbosa, Martin Perez, Gregorio Campos, Ramon Enriquez, Esther Perez, Servando Moreno, and Eleasar Trejo (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") bring this action on behalf of themselves as a collective action to recover unpaid minimum wages, overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the provisions of Section 216(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 USC § 201 et seq. Plaintiffs also bring this class action on behalf of themselves and on behalf of other similarly-situated employees to recover damages under the civil provisions of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations (RICO) Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1960-68, and under Nevada state law.
- Defendant WALLDESIGN is a company that provides its customers various building services at construction projects. These services include, but are not limited to, drywall installation and finishing, plastering, stucco work, insulation, and painting.
- Plaintiffs are current and former employees of WALLDESIGN who have been systematically deprived of their rightful pay through a criminal scheme designed to skirt workers' compensation and wage and hour laws.
- 4. Plaintiffs have worked for Defendant WALLDESIGN as drywall hangers and tapers, plasterers, stucco workers and painters, performing assorted tasks related to the surface preparation and painting of buildings. Plaintiffs performed this work at construction projects in the state of Nevada.
- 5. Payment of wages at WALLDESIGN has always been a highly irregular affair. Until some point in 2007, workers were paid exclusively in cash by their supervisors. The supervisors came to job sites with envelopes full of cash and then handed the cash to the crew leaders. The crew leaders then distributed the cash to the workers on their crew. No crew leaders or workers were ever asked or required to report the number of hours they worked. As this was the height of the housing boom, workers were routinely working twelve hour days, seven days a week.

12

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

26

25

27 28

- Apparently aware that this payment procedure was abnormal, in 2007 WALLDESIGN 6. began paying its workers with paychecks drawn on an account from Comerica Bank in Los Angeles, California. But, again, the process was decidedly peculiar. First, only some of the workers were allowed to "apply" for their jobs. Those workers then began receiving paychecks. However, workers who were not allowed to apply for their jobs began to be paid in combinations of checks and cashchecks that were not in their own names. Generally, the workers were not acquainted with the people whose names appeared on their checks. For example, Jose Luis Santiago Martinez, who worked for WALLDESIGN for five years, was not allowed to officially apply for his job until late 2008. In early 2008, he often worked with two other men, Marcelino and Diego. On at least one occasion, the three men were paid with two checks made out to Ismael Fernando Pascual and Federico Julian. See Exhibit A for affidavit and copies of the paystubs in question.
- Sometimes even workers who had officially been "hired" by WALLDESIGN were paid 7. with checks in other people's names. For example, Maria Guadalupe Uribe, who usually received a paycheck in her own name, was several times issued a check in the name of Orlando Gutierrez, whom she does not know. See Exhibit B for affidavit and photo of Plaintiff with paycheck.
- 8. When workers were issued checks in other people's names, the supervisors told the workers where to go to get the checks cashed. For example, in the case of Jose Luis Santiago Martinez, his supervisor told him to go to a specific store and tell the owner that "Rafael told me to come to you." Then the store owner would cash the checks for a 2% commission, See Exhibit A.
- 9. The issuing of checks in other people's names had other collateral consequences. For example, in many instances, workers were injured on the job but were told that they could not claim workers' compensation. Miguel Torralba was injured when a nail became lodged in his foot. Because his supervisor refused to allow him to file workers' compensation, he went to a family friend who stitched up the wound. He was not compensated for any of the time missed at work due to the accident nor compensated for this work-related injury in any way. See Exhibit C for affidavit.
- These problems proceed unabated at WALLDESIGN. Workers continue to be issued checks in other people's names, with occasional payments in cash; the amount paid to each worker is often less than both the federal and Nevada minimum wage.

II. JURISDICTION

§201 et seq. ("FLSA"), which provides, inter alia, that "[a]n action to recover ... may be maintained ... in any Federal or State court of competent jurisdiction." 29 USC § 216(b). Furthermore, state courts have jurisdiction to enforce the Civil RICO statute at 18 U.S.C. 1964. Tafflin v. Levitt, 493 U.S. 455 (1990). State courts have original jurisdiction over state law claims. Therefore, jurisdiction in this court is appropriate.

III. VENUE

12. Venue lies within Clark County pursuant to NRS § 13.040. Venue is proper in the County as the majority of the acts, events, and omissions giving rise to this action occurred in this County. At all relevant times, Defendant employed Plaintiffs in the State of Nevada, with the majority of employees living and working in Clark County.

IV. PARTIES

- 13. Plaintiffs are current and former employees of WALLDESIGN, INC., who live and work in Clark County, Nevada. At all relevant times, Plaintiffs and other class members were "persons" within the meaning of the RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3)
- 14. Defendant WALLDESIGN, INC., (hereinafter "WALLDESIGN") is a California corporation, having its principal place of business in Newport Beach, California. WALLDESIGN has a registered agent at 5190 Neil Rd., Suite 430, Reno, NV 89502.
- 15. Defendant MICHAEL BELLO (hereinafter "BELLO") is a natural person residing in Newport Coast, California and the Owner, President, Secretary, Treasurer and Director of WALLDESIGN. Process may be served upon him at 38 Pelican Crest Dr., Newport Coast, California, 92657-1805.
- 16. Defendant PICERNE CONSTRUCTION CORP. (hereinafter "PICERNE"), is a corporation based in Arizona which has been operating in Nevada as a licensed contractor. Their headquarters in Nevada is located at 4880 W University, Ste B-6, Las Vegas, NV 89103.

- 17. Defendant OVATION DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION ("OVATION"), is a Nevada Corporation licensed as a contractor of Nevada whose headquarters is located at 6021 S. Fort Apache Rd., Ste 100, Las Vegas, NV, 89148.
- 18. Defendant COLONIAL PROPERTIES TRUST, is an entity based in Alabama which operates a single enterprise with its subsidiaries in Nevada which consist of Colonial Properties Services and Colonial Commercial Contracting, LLC (the latter having a Nevada contractor's license), operated in Nevada at 3305 E. Rome Blvd., North Las Vegas, NV 89086 (collectively referred to here as "COLONIAL").
- 19. Defendant FAIRFIELD DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ("FAIRFIELD") is a partnership based in California operating in and licensed in Nevada as a contractor, with a Nevada address of 1707 Village Center Dr., Ste. 150, Las Vegas, NV, 89148.
- 20. Defendant FANTASY CONSTRUCTION, INC. ("FANTASY") is a corporation operating in and licensed in Nevada as a contractor with a Nevada address of 2775 S. Jones Blvd., Suite 101, Las Vegas, NV 89146.
- 21. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, representative or otherwise, of Defendants names herein as Does 1-10 are not known to Plaintiffs, who consequently sue such Defendants by such fictitious names, and Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this complaint to state their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each defendant sued by such fictitious name was responsible in some manner for the actions referred to in this complaint and in some manner caused the injuries and damages alleged herein. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the Defendants herein were at all times relevant hereto the agent, employee or representative of the remaining Defendants and were acting, at least in part, within the course and scope of such relationship.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

22. All claims for actual and liquidated damages, as well as for injunctive relief, under RICO and Nevada State Law are brought by Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated persons.

28

- 23. Class claims for injunctive and other equitable relief are brought pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 23(a). For the purpose of injunctive and other equitable relief, the class consists of all persons who have been employed, are employed, or will be employed by WALLDESIGN within the applicable limitations periods.
- 24. The precise number of individuals in the class is known only to the Defendant WALLDESIGN. The class includes over 40 individuals and is believed to include over 300 individuals. Joinder of all class members is impracticable.
 - 25. There are questions of law and fact common to the class.
- 26. The claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the class. The failure of the Defendants to comply with RICO and Nevada State Law served to deprive all class members of the protections of these laws.
 - 27. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class.
 - 28. Plaintiffs' counsel are experienced in conducting class actions in Nevada courts.
- 29. The common claims set forth in the Third through Seventh Causes of Action predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.
- 30. The Plaintiffs' interests in the claims set forth in the Third through Seventh Cause of Action are in no way antagonistic or adverse to those of other class members.
- 31. A class action under Nev. R. Civ. P. 23 is superior to other available methods of adjudicating the claims set forth in Counts III through VII because, *inter alia*:
- a. Common issues of law and fact, as well as the relatively small claim of each class member, substantially diminish the interest of members of the class in individually controlling the prosecution of separate actions;
- b. Many of the class members are unaware of their rights to prosecute these claims and lack the means or resources to secure legal assistance;
- c. There has been no litigation already commenced by members of the class to determine the questions presented; and
 - d. A class action can be managed without undue difficulty since Defendants have

regularly committed the violations complained of herein and were required to maintain detailed records concerning each member of the class.

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. THE FLSA CLAIMS

- 32. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant WALLDESIGN has been an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s) of the FLSA, 29 USC § 203(s).
- 33. WALLDESIGN has been or was, at all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(d).
- 34. Defendant MICHAEL BELLO is Owner, President, Secretary, Treasurer and Director of WALLDESIGN and the joint employer of Plaintiffs as defined under 29 U.S.C. §203(d). At all relevant times herein, MICHAEL BELLO has been an individual engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 USC § 203(s).
- 35. Plaintiffs and others similarly-situated, while employed by WALLDESIGN and MICHAEL BELLO, were regularly under-compensated for their work, such that they were often not paid the federal minimum wage for every hour worked.
- 36. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated regularly worked more than 40 hours per week for Defendants WALLDESIGN and MICHAEL BELLO.
- 37. Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were not paid time and one-half for every hour worked over 40 hours per week.
- 38. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and others similarly situated the proper wages required by law was willful.
- 39. All actions and omissions described in this complaint were made by Defendants WALLDESIGN and MICHAEL BELLO directly or through Defendants' supervisory employees and/or agents.

B. The RICO Claim

- 40. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO are 'persons' as defined by RICO, 18 U.S.C. § 1961(3).
- 41. At all pertinent times, Defendant WALLDESIGN, Defendant BELLO, non-Defendants Comerica Bank and their agents formed an association-in-fact or other enterprise for the purpose of paying Plaintiffs and the class (the "Paycheck Enterprise"), which was an "enterprise" within the meaning of RICO, 18 USC § 1961(4). This enterprise has the common purpose of compensating WALLDESIGN employees for their work.
- 42. At all pertinent times, WALLDESIGN and BELLO were engaged in, and their activities affected, interstate and foreign commerce within the meaning of RICO.
- 43. At all pertinent times, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO each associated with Comerica Bank and conspired and agreed to violate RICO, i.e., agreed to conduct and/or participate in the conduct, directly or indirectly, of the affairs of the Paycheck Enterprise through a "pattern of racketeering activity" in violation of RICO. In particular, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO agreed to commit two or more of the "predicate acts" of "racketeering activity."
- 44. The "racketeering activity" of Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO was and is comprised by multiple unlawful acts of Mail and Wire Fraud, Bank Fraud, Money Laundering, and otherwise conspiring, aiding, abetting and engaging therein. Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO conspired, committed, aided and/or abetted at least two or more of these "predicate acts" of "racketeering activity" over the period of time that the Paycheck Enterprise has existed. Thus, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO participated in the Paycheck Enterprise, whose legitimate purpose was to pay employees, for their illegitimate and criminal purpose of defrauding, injuring, depriving and profiting from systematic violations of the FLSA and state laws.
- 45. Mail and Wire Fraud. In order to advance, conceal, and further their racketeering activity in the Paycheck Enterprise, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO made extensive use of the U.S. mail and wires, as prohibited by 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1343. For example, through fixed-line fax transmissions, telephone lines, and U.S. mail, employees in the Las Vegas WALLDESIGN office

communicated falsified payroll information to WALLDESIGN headquarters in California. That information was then communicated to Comerica Bank for the purposes of generating fraudulent paychecks. For example, a paycheck dated 05/22/2009, drawn on a Comerica Bank account in Los Angeles, was generated in the name of "Orlando Gutierrez" to partially compensate Plaintiff Maria Guadalupe Uribe for her work. See Exhibit B. Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO used the wires and the US mail to communicate among the Las Vegas office, the California headquarters, and Comerica Bank in order to execute the creation of this fraudulent paycheck. This is just one example among thousands of instances.

- 46. Bank Fraud. Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO knowingly executed a scheme or artifice to obtain moneys under the custody or control of a financial institution by means of false or fraudulent pretenses and representations in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344(2). By generating fraudulent paychecks on their Comerica Bank account, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO executed a scheme to use false names to pay employees, thereby obtaining money under the control of Comerica Bank by means of a fraudulent pretense. Again, the specific example of Maria Guadalupe Uribe's paycheck, as seen in Exhibit B, is illustrative of this scheme to defraud a financial institution.
- Money Laundering. Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO routinely laundered money proceeds of criminal acts in interstate commerce, i.e., knowingly conducted financial transactions involving the money proceeds of defrauding and depriving Plaintiffs of their compensation guaranteed by the FLSA and state laws to promote the carrying on of that unlawful activity, in furtherance of their conspiracy about, conduct of, and other participation in the Paycheck Enterprise. This was in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956. Specifically, the balance of the Comerica Bank account was kept artificially high by the systematic underpayment of wages to employees and of premiums to workers compensation insurers. Money from that account then went to pay for other business expenses, including correctly compensating some employees including managers. By this scheme, Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO laundered dirty money into clean by funneling it through the Comerica account to others.

C. The Nevada State Law Claims

- 48. Defendant WALLDESIGN has been or was, at all times relevant herein, Plaintiffs' employer within the meaning of NRS § 608.011.
- 49. Defendants PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY were at all times relevant builders or contractors as that term is used in NRS § 608.150. They have built structures as builders and/or contractors in Clark County, Nevada.
- 50. Defendant WALLDESIGN performed work as a contractor and/or subcontractor to Defendants PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY in various projects in Nevada. The violations described herein took place while Defendant WALLDESIGN was acting as a contractor and/or subcontractor to Defendants PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY. Plaintiffs and the class they represent performed services on those projects built by Defendants PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY.
- 51. Over the past three years, Defendant WALLDESIGN has not paid Plaintiffs at least the Nevada minimum wage for all their hours of work. Additionally, WALLDESIGN has failed to pay Plaintiffs time-and-a-half of the Nevada statutory minimum wage for all hours worked in excess of forty in a workweek, and WALLDESIGN has failed to maintain accurate records of wages paid.

FIRST CLAIM OF RELIEF FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE [FLSA, 29 USC § 206] BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WALLDESIGN AND BELLO (COLLECTIVE ACTION)

- 52. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations listed above as if fully set forth here.
- 53. Plaintiffs bring their First Claim for Relief as an opt-in collective action under the FLSA, 29 USC § 216(b), for failure to pay at least the minimum wage, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs. Plaintiffs have been denied proper compensation during the applicable liability period. Plaintiffs represent the other employees who were similarly denied proper compensation, and act on behalf of those employees' interests and their own, in bringing this action. Each named plaintiff has signed a retainer agreement with counsel to become a party in this action. The employees similarly situated to

Plaintiffs are known to Defendants, are readily identifiable, and may be located through Defendants' records. These similarly-situated employees should be notified of, and allowed to opt into, this action to pursue their claims for unpaid minimum wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs under the FLSA.

- 54. Section 6 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §206, establishes the right of all persons who are "engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or is employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce" to have their employer pay at least the federal minimum wage rate for all hours worked. The §206 rate is "not less than \$5.85 an hour during the period beginning July 24, 2007, not less than \$6.55 an hour beginning on July 24, 2008, and not less than \$7.25 an hour beginning July 24, 2009." 29 U.S.C. §206(a)(1).
- 55. Said defendants have engaged in a pattern and practice of violating the Fair Labor Standards Act by not paying Plaintiffs at least the minimum wage rate required by the FLSA and such conduct was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §255(a).
- 56. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated have been deprived of pay in amounts to be determined at trial and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and other compensation pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b). Plaintiffs and other persons formally or informally employed by Defendants who may opt in to this collective action request relief as described below.
- 57. Defendants have concealed and continue to conceal relevant facts regarding their unlawful activities, including their involvement in the unlawful scheme to defraud workers of their wages. Accordingly, any statute of limitations relating to any of the causes of action alleged in this Complaint have been suspended for that period during which Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were prevented by the wrongful acts of Defendants from seeking appropriate remedies, including the filing of a lawsuit.

SECOND CLAIM OF RELIEF FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME [FLSA, 29 USC § 207]

BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WALLDESIGN AND BELLO (COLLECTIVE ACTION)

- 58. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations listed above as if fully set forth here.
- 59. Plaintiffs bring their Second Claim for Relief as an opt-in collective action under the FLSA, 29 USC § 216(b), for unpaid overtime wages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 60. Section 7 of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207, establishes the right of all persons who are "suffered or permitted to work" to have their employer pay the correct overtime rate for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek.
- Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, the following acts herein: that Defendants have engaged in a pattern and practice of violating the Fair Labor Standards Act by requiring Plaintiffs and the class to work in excess of forty hours in a workweek without paying them overtime at the time-and-a-half rate required by the FLSA and that such conduct was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §255(a).
- 62. As a result of the unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiffs and others similarly situated have been deprived of overtime pay in amounts to be determined at trial and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, and other compensation pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b). Plaintiffs and other persons formally or informally employed by Defendants who may opt in to this collective action request relief as described below.
- 63. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants have concealed and continue to conceal relevant facts regarding their unlawful activities, including their involvement in the unlawful scheme to defraud workers of their wages. Accordingly, any statute of limitations relating to any of the causes of action alleged in this Complaint have been suspended for that period during which Plaintiffs and others similarly situated were prevented by the wrongful acts of Defendants from seeking appropriate remedies, including the filing of a lawsuit.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT (RICO) [18 U.S.C. §§ 1960-68] BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WALLDESIGN AND BELLO (CLASS ACTION)

- 64. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations listed above as if fully set forth here.
- 65. As a direct, intended, and foreseeable result of Defendants' WALLDESIGN and BELLO violations of RICO, have suffered injury to their property, in the form of underpayment of wages, lack of workers' compensation insurance, and excessive check-cashing fees.
- 66. The criminal acts of mail and wire fraud, bank fraud, and money laundering committed by Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO were directly related to and were substantial factors in causing injury to Plaintiffs.
- 67. Plaintiffs and the class are entitled to relief including their actual damages, treble damages, attorney's fees pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and costs.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF CLASS ACTION TO RECOVER WAGES [NEV. REV. STAT. § 608.250, et seq.] BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WALLDESIGN, PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY (CLASS ACTION)

- 68. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations listed above as if fully set forth here.
- 69. Plaintiffs bring this Fourth Claim for Relief as an opt-out class action under Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) on behalf of themselves and the proposed class of current and former employees of Defendant WALLDESIGN who, within the applicable liability period, were not paid at least the Nevada minimum wage for each and every hour worked.
- 70. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.250 empowers the Labor Commissioner to set the minimum wage in Nevada. On July 1, 2007 the Nevada minimum wage became \$6.33/hr for uninsured employees. On July 1, 2008 the rate was \$6.85/hr. That rate was again raised to \$7.55 on July 1, 2009.

- 71. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.260 provides a private right of action for employees to recover the difference between the minimum wage and the amount paid.
- 72. Furthermore, Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.150 states that original contractors are liable for the indebtedness for labor incurred by subcontractors or contractors acting under, by or for the original contractor.
- 73. All Plaintiffs worked for Defendant WALLDESIGN in Nevada during the relevant time periods and worked on projects from PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY, the original contractors.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF CLASS ACTION TO RECOVER UNPAID OVERTIME [NEV. REV. STAT. § 608.018] BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WALLDESIGN, PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY (CLASS ACTION)

- 74. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations listed above as if fully set forth here.
- 75. Plaintiffs also bring this claim as an opt-out class action under Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) on behalf of themselves and in a representative capacity on behalf of similarly-situated workers employed by Defendant WALLDESIGN.
- 76. Defendant WALLDESIGN has required employees to work more than 40 hours in a week but are not paid time-and-a-half for the hours worked in excess of 40, as required by N.R.S. § 608.018.
- 77. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 608.150 states that original contractors are liable for the indebtedness for labor incurred by subcontractors or contractors acting under, by or for the original contractor. All Plaintiffs worked for Defendant WALLDESIGN in Nevada during the relevant time periods and worked on projects from PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY, the original contractors.

1 and minimum wages due them, and accordingly are owed waiting time penalties under NRS 608.040 2 and/or NRS 608.050. 3 SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 4 INJUNCTION AND DAMAGES FOR VIOLATION OF NEVADA PAYROLL STATUTES 5 [NRS 608.115, 608.120, 608.130(1) et al.] BY ALL PLAINTIFFS AGAINST DEFENDANTS WALLDESIGN AND BELLO 6 (CLASS ACTION) 7 83. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations listed above as if 8 fully set forth here. 9 84. NRS 608.115 provides: 10 11 1. Every employer shall establish and maintain records of wages for the benefit of his employees, showing for each pay period the following information 12 for each employee: 13 (a) Gross wage or salary other than compensation in the form of: (1) Services; or 14 (2) Food, housing or clothing. (b) Deductions. 15 (c) Net cash wage or salary. 16 (d) Total hours employed in the pay period by noting the number of hours 17 (e) Date of payment. 2. The information required by this section must be furnished to each 18 employee within 10 days after he submits his request. 19 3. Records of wages must be maintained for a 2-year period following the 20 entry of information in the record. 21 85. Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO have failed to maintain accurate record of 22 wages, both by undercounting of hours and by using false names in the records, in violation of NRS 23 608.115. 24 86. NRS 608.120 provides: 25 The payment of wages or compensation must be made in lawful money of the 26 United States or by a good and valuable negotiable check or draft drawn only to the order of the employee unless: 27 1. The employee has agreed in writing to some other disposition of his 28 wages; or

- 2. The employer has been directed to make some other disposition of the employee's wages by:
 - (a) A court of competent jurisdiction; or
- (b) An agency of federal, state or local government with jurisdiction to issue such directives.

Such checks or drafts must be payable on presentation thereof at some bank, credit union or established place of business without discount in lawful money of the United States. They must be payable at the place designated in the notice prescribed in NRS 608.080.

87. NRS 608.130(1) provides:

- 1. A person engaged in any business or enterprise of any kind in this State shall not issue, in payment of, or as evidence of, any indebtedness for wages due an employee, any order, check, memorandum or other acknowledgment of indebtedness unless it is a negotiable instrument payable without discount, in cash on demand, at some bank, credit union or other established place of business but this subsection does not limit or interfere with the right of any employee, by agreement, to accept from any such person, as an evidence or acknowledgment of indebtedness for wages due him, a negotiable instrument payable at some future date with interest.
- 88. These Defendants' practices of paying workers in names that are not their own, which then necessitates that the workers cash such checks at a particular store for a fee, violates NRS 608.120 and NRS 608.130(1).
- 89. The fee charged constitutes an illegal reduction of agreed-upon pay in violation of NRS 608.100.
- 90. These Defendants' failure to properly report the identity and pay of their employees to the State in making unemployment contributions violates NRS Chapter 612 and the regulations thereunder.
- 91. These Defendants' failure to provide workers compensation insurance to their employees violates Nevada law, including NRS 616B.612.
- 92. Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered irreparable injuries and are threatened with additional such injuries due to these violations, including interference with workers' ability to establish with certainty the amount of wages and other benefits due them.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of all members of the FLSA collective action pray for relief as follows:

- A. Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs (asserting FLSA claims) and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b) to all similarly-situated members of the FLSA opt-in Collective, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 USC § 216(b) and tolling the statute of limitations on the claims of all members of the FLSA Opt-In Class from the date this complaint was filed until the class members are provided with reasonable notice of the pendency of this action and a fair opportunity to exercise their right to opt in as plaintiffs;
- B. Ordering Defendants to disclose in computer readable format, or in print if no computer readable format is available, the names and addresses of all those individuals who are similarly situated, and permitting Plaintiffs to send notice of this action to all those similarly-situated individuals;
- C. Designation of Plaintiffs as Representatives of the FLSA Collective;
- D. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA;
- E. A declaratory judgment that Defendants WALLDESIGN and MICHAEL BELLO willfully violated the Fair Labor Standards Act;
- F. A judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and against Defendants WALLDESIGN and MICHAEL BELLO on the Plaintiffs' Fair Labor Standards Act claim and awarding each of them the amount of his/her unpaid federal minimum wages and overtime wages, along with an equal amount as liquidated damages, the costs of this action, reasonable attorney's fees with regard to their claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and pre- and postjudgment interest, as provided by law; and
- G. Grant such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs on their own behalf and on behalf of all members of the RICO and State Class pray for relief as follows:

A. Certification of this action as an opt-out class action on behalf of the class;

i		מ	
2		D.	Designation of Plaintiffs as representatives of the class;
3		C.	. Designation of Plaintiffs' counsel as Counsel for the class;
4			
5		D.	A judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendants WALLDESIGN and BELLO on the RICO claim and awarding them treble damages and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 18
6			U.S.C. § 1964(c).
7 8		E.	A declaratory judgment that Defendants WALLDESIGN, PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY failed to pay the Nevada minimum wage, violating N.R.S. §
9			608.250, et seq.
10		F_	A judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and the State Class and against Defendants WALLDESIGN,
11		۸.	PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY and awarding them the
12			minimum wages due under N.R.S. § 608.250, et seq. and also awarding them attorneys' fees pursuant to N.R.S. § 608.140, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest pursuant to NRS 99.040
13			and NRS 17.130 and other law, and waiting-time penalties pursuant to NRS 608.140150 for former employees.
14			,
15		G.	A declaratory judgment that Defendants WALLDESIGN, PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY failed to pay proper overtime, violating N.R.S. § 608.018.
16			FARCTICED and FAIVIASI Tance to pay proper overtime, violating 14.16.5. 8 000.010.
17		H.	A judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and the State Class and against Defendants WALLDESIGN,
18			PICERNE, OVATION, COLONIAL, FAIRFIELD and FANTASY and awarding them the overtime due under N.R.S. § 608.018 the fees paid to cash their checks, pre-judgment and post-
19 20			judgment interest, waiting-time penalties and attorneys' fees pursuant to N.R.S. § 608.140.
20		1.	An injunction against WALLDESIGN and its officers, agents, successors, employees,
22			representatives, and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices and policies set forth herein;
23	///		
24	 		
25	///		
26	///		
27			
28	///		
			19

VII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 1 Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect 2 3 to which they have a right to jury trial. 4 Dated: ___ McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN & HOLSBERRY 5 By: 6 Andrew J. Kahn, SBN 3751 1630 S. Commerce Street, Suite A-1 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 8 Tel: 702-386-5107 Fax: 702-386-9848 9 Rachel Wilson 10 177 N. Church Ave., Suite 200 11 Tucson, Arizona 85701 Tel: (520) 628-7777 12 Fax: (520)798-1980 E-mail: rachel@rachelwilsonlaw.com 13 14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Yo. Jose Luis Santiago Martinez, soy una persona mayor de 18 años y estoy dando esta declaración voluntariamente.
 - 2. Yo trabajć para Walldesign por cínco años.
- 3. Yo frecuentemente era pagado con cheques dirigidos al nombre de otras personas.
- 4. Por ejemplo, la semana que terminó el 25 de Noviembre, 2008, yo trabajaba junto a una cuadrílla con dos otras personas: Marcelino y Diego. Los tres fuimos pagados con dos cheques. Los cheques iban dirigidos a Ismael Fernando Pascual y Federico Julián.
- 5. Para cambiar los cheques, se me dijo de ir al "Mini-mart" y decir que "Rafael" me mandaba.
 - 6. Rafael era mi supervisor.
- 7. Cuando el dependiente del Mini-mart cambió mis cheques, una comisión del 2% fue cobrada.
- 8. Yo declaro bajo pena de perjurio bajo las leyes del Estado de Nevada y de los Estados Unidos que esta información es verdadera y correcta, basado en mi propio conocimiento.

Ejecutado en Las Vegas, NV, el día 25 de agosto de 2009.

- 1. I, Jose Luis Santiago Martinez, am a person of more than 18 years of age, and am giving this statement voluntarily.
 - 2. I worked for WallDesign for five years.
 - 3. I was often paid with checks issued in other people's names.
- 4. For example, the week ending November 25, 2008, I worked on a crew with two other people: Marcelino and Diego. The three of us were paid with two checks. The checks were made out to Ismael Fernando Pascual and Federico Julian.
- 5. In order to cash the checks, I was told to go to the "Mini-mart" and say that "Rafael" had sent me.
 - 6 Rafael was my supervisor.
- 7 When the man at the Mini-mart cashed my checks, a 2% commission was deducted.
- 8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada and of the United States of America that this information is true, based on my best knowledge.

Executed in Las Vegas, NV, on ______ of August, 2009.

{signature}

Lose Luis S. m.

¥0,	Walldesign Incorporated	rcorpora	ted							949536
	HOURS	RS		EABN	EADNINGS		VAD GENTA	VAU.		
	REGULAR	OVERTIME	HATE	REGULAR	OVERTIME	BASIS.	- RATE	AMOUNT	DESCRIPTION	PAY PERIOD.
	•			503.00	1					
				•						11/25/08
	- 	•	,							0 70
						_				No. 24.035
				•						TOTALPAY
										503.00
				, DED	DEDITIONS THIS BEBION	EBION				
	FWH	1.26 MED	Men	1						
		1		202 82.7		31.18				TOTAL
				<u></u> .						DEDUCTIONS
JL							-			39.73
1	EMPL	EMPLOYEE INFORMATION	MATION			YEAR -:TO	YEAR -: TO - DATE TOTALS			VICT DAV
	TSWART	FERNAND	ISMAEL FERNANDO PASCUAL GROSS	L GROSS	6271,00 FICA	OFICA	479.73 SDI	Ids	00.	١
	XXX-XX-9367	367	N7809	FWH	38,9	38.90 SWH	0.	.00 401k	00.	463.27
ĺ				PLEASE DETA	CH THIS PORTIC	N AND RETAIN	PLEASE DETACH THIS PORTION AND RETAIN FOR YOUR RECORDS	ORDS		

PAY PERIOD	11/25/08 No. 949238	TOTAL PAY 503.00	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS 18 A R	NET NET	464.52	
TIME - BASIS - OTHER PAY MOUNT DESCRIPTION			CTIONS THIS PERIOD	19963 ON BITCH DATE TO A FILL FOR THE TOTAL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FI	TAIN FOR	
EARNINGS REGULAR DVER 503 OO		,	31,19	. ಇವಿಗಳು	FWH.	
REGULAR OVERTIME RATE:			MED 7.29 SOC	EMPLOYEE INFORMATION FEDERICO JULITAN	XXX-XX-8375 N6432	

- 1. Yo, Maria Guadalupe Uribe, soy una persona mayor de 18 años y estoy dando esta declaración voluntariamente.
 - 2. Yo trabajaba para Walldesign.
- 3. Yo frecuentemente era pagada con cheques dirigidos a nombres de otras personas.
- 4. Por ejemplo, en la semana que acabó el 22 de Mayo, 2009, fui pagada con un cheque dirigido a Orlando Gutiérrez y con \$120 en efectivo.
 - 5. Yo tomé una foto de mi misma con el cheque y el dinero en efectivo.
- 6. Yo declaro bajo pena de perjurio bajo las leyes del Estado de Nevada y de los Estados Unidos que esta información es verdadera y correcta, basado en mi propio conocimiento.

Ejecutado en Las Vegas, NV, el 26 de Agosto de 2009

signature

- 1. I, Maria Guadalupe Uribe, am a person of more than 18 years of age and am giving this statement voluntarily.
 - 2. I used to work at WallDesign.
 - 3. I was often paid with checks issued in other people's names.
- 4. For example, for the week ending May 22, 2009, I was paid with a check made out to Orlando Gutierrez and with \$120 in cash.
 - 5. I took a picture of myself with the check and the cash.
- 6. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada and of the United States of America that this information is true, based on my best knowledge.

Executed in Las Vegas, NV, on 6 of August, 2009.

{signature}



- 1. Yo, Miguel Torralba, soy una persona de más de 18 años y estoy dando esta declaración voluntariamente.
 - 2. Yo trabajaba por la compañía WallDesign.
- 3. El año pasado tuve un accidente en en trabajo; estaba bajando de un esquefo y pisé sobre un clavo. El clavo cruzó la plantilla de mi bota y se encajó en mi pié. Eso ocurrió aproximadamente a las 12 del mediodía. Ya no podía trabajar, pero tuve que esperar que mi cuadrilla acabara de trabajar para poder llevarlos de vuelta.
- 4. Mi foreman llegó una media hora más tarde y le mostré mi pié. Él dijo que probablemente yo no llevaba las botas puestas. Le dije que viniera a mirar mi bota para que viera que estaba llena de sangre. Él dijo que no era para tanto y me dijo de golpear la herida con una tabla para sacar toda la sangre acumulada. Le pedí de llevarme al medico, pero él no quiso. Dijo que lo que yo tenía era menor, pero mi pie estaba muy hinchado y me dolía bastante.
- 5. Entonces mi supervisor me dijo que esta era la razón por la cual yo tenía trabajo con la compañía, porque otros trabajadores demandarían, y por eso ellos ya no tenían trabajo con la compañía.
 - 6. Mi supervisor no me permitió solicitar seguro de compensación de obrero.
- 7. Visité una clínica varias veces para obtener inyecciones. Por un tiempo pareció posible que acabara perdiendo el pié.
- 8. Porque yo era encargado de cuadrilla, tuve que seguir llevando a mi cuadrilla al trabajo, pero no pude trabajar yo mismo por aproximadamente quince días. No fuí pagado por todo el tiempo que no fuí capaz de trabajar.
- 9. Yo declaro bajo pena de perjurio bajo las leyes del Estado de Nevada y de los Estados Unidos que lo que aquí declaro es verdad y correcto basado en mi propio conocimiento.

	Ejec	eutado (n Las V	Vegas,	NV, el_	<u> 2.5</u>	_ de Agosto	de 2009.
Migo	EA	70.0	ca. []= C)				
signati								

- 1. I, Miguel Torralba, am a person of more than 18 years of age and am giving this statement voluntarily.
 - 2. I used to work at WallDesign.
- 3. Last year I had an accident at work; I was coming down off a scaffold and I stepped on a nail. It went all the way through my boot and into my foot. This was at approximately twelve o'clock, noon. I couldn't work anymore but I had to wait for the crew to finish working so I could drive everyone.
- 4. My foreman arrived about a half hour later and I showed him my foot. He said that I probably had not been wearing boots. I told him to come look at my boot so he could see how it was filled with blood. He said it wasn't a big deal and told me to hit the wound with a board to release all the coagulated blood. I asked him to take me to the doctor, but he didn't want to. He said that what I had was minor, but my foot was very swollen and hurt quite a bit.

5. Then my supervisor told me that this was the reason why I had work with the company, because other workers would sue and that's why they didn't have work with the company anymore.

6. My supervisor didn't allow me to file for worker's compensation,

7. I visited a clinic several times to get injections. For a while it looked like I might lose my foot.

8. Because I was the crew leader, I had to continue to drive my crew to work, but I was not able to perform any work myself for approximately fifteen days. I was not paid for any of that time that I was not able to actually work.

Executed in Las Vegas, NV. on 25 of August of 2009.

{signature}

Miguer ramaile

EXHIBIT "B"

1 REMV T. James Truman, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 003620 Stephen M. Dixon, Esq. 3 Nevada Bar No. 010025 T. JAMES TRUMAN & ASSOCIATES 4 3654 North Rancho Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89130 5 Telephone: (702) 256-0156 Attorneys for Defendants Walldesign Incorporated, 6 Michael Bello, Colonial Properties Trust, Colonial Commercial Contracting, LLC, and 7 Colonial Properties Services 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 MIGUEL TORRALBA, ROSARIO Case No. A-09-598359-C MARROQUIM, CESAR ROSAS, JUAN FARIAS, Dept. XVI OSCAR ROJAS RAMIREZ, ANGEL FARIAS, 11 VICTOR URIBE, ISIDRO FLORES, JACOBÓ 12 MARQUEZ, RICARDO FLORES, TEOFILO PEREZ, JOSE LUIS SANTIAGO MARTINEZ 13 FELIX PEREZ MANUEL, ROSA RODRIGUEZ. NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION MARIA GUADALUPE URIBE, ROBERTO TO THE UNITED STATES CRUZ, ISRAEL CRUZ, MARCELÓ EPIFANIO, 14 DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ORLANDO RAMIREZ, ESTEBAN MIRANDA, **NEVADA** 15 MANUEL MUNOS, FRANCISCO VARGAS; LUIS VARGAS, ROBERTA BARBOSA, 16 MARTIN PEREZ, GREGORIO CAMPOS. RAMON ENRIQUEZ, ESTHER PEREZ, SERVANDO MORENO, and ELEASAR TREJO 17 individually and on behalf of other persons similarly 18 situated. 19 Plaintiffs, 20 VS. 21 WALLDESIGN, INC.; MICHAEL BELLO; PICERNE CONSTRUCTION CORP.; OVATION 22 DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION; COLONIAL **PROPERTIES** TRUST; COLONIAL 23 COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC; PROPERTIES COLONIAL SERVICES; 24 FAIRFIELD DEVELOPMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; FANTASY CONSTRUCTION, 25 INC. and DOES 1-10 inclusive, 26 Defendants. 27 111 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT, TH	E CLERK OF THE (COURT, AND	ALL PARTIES IN
THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED ACTION:			

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants, WALLDESIGN INCORPORATED (incorrectly named as WALLDESIGN, INC.) ("Walldesign"), MICHAEL BELLO ("Bello"), COLONIAL PROPERTIES TRUST ("Colonial Properties"), COLONIAL COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING, LLC ("Colonial Commercial") and COLONIAL PROPERTIES SERVICES ("Colonial Services")(hereinafter collectively referred to as Walldesign Defendants") have filed a Petition for Removal from the Eighth Judicial District Court of Nevada to the United States District Court, For the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1441(a), and 1332(a)(1). A true and correct copy of the Petition for Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and is incorporated herein by this reference. The original notice of removal may be located in the United States District Court's file.

Accordingly, the above-captioned civil action, including all claims and causes of action therein, is hereby removed from the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada to the United States District Court in accordance with 28 USC § 1441, and the parties shall proceed no further in the State Court unless and until the above-captioned action or any portion thereof is remanded.

DATED this 23 day of September, 2009.

T. JAMES TRUMAN & ASSOCIATES

T. JAMES TRUMAN, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 003620 STEPHEN M. DIXON, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 10025 3654 North Rancho Drive

Las Vegas, NV 89130

Attorneys for Defendants Walldesign Incorporated, Michael Bello, Colonial Properties Trust, Colonial Commercial Contracting, LLC, and Colonial Properties Services

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I am an employee of T. JAMES TRUMAN & ASSOCIATES, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, and that on the 231 day of September, 2009, I placed a true and correct copy of NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA in the United States mails at Las Vegas, Nevada, with 1st class postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Andrew J. Kahn, Esq. Mccracken, Stemerman & Holsberry 1630 S. Commerce Street, Suite A-1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Rachel Wilson, Esq. 177 N. Church Ave., Suite 200 Tucson, AZ 85701

T. James Truman & Associates